

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/816,824	Applicant(s) KIM, SEOG TAE	
	Examiner Philip H. Leung	Art Unit 3742	

All Participants:
Status of Application: fter Final

 (1) Examiner Philip H. Leung.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Cheng-Kang HSU.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 July 2005
Time: 12:20 p.m.
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

5 and 9

Prior art documents discussed:


none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Attorney agreed to amend claims 5 and 9 to more clearly set forth the microwave oven by changing "for" to "of" in claims 5 and 9 and "the fumes generated during a cooking process" in claim 5 by an Examiner's Amendment (see the amendment for details).

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)